UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/760,196 | 01/12/2001 | Susanne Vindekilde | 336001-2048 | 2676 |
| | 7590 07/24/200 AWRENCE & HAUG | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| | ENUE- 10TH FL. | WEISBERGER, RICHARD C | | |
| NEW YORK, N | N1 10131 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3693 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/24/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 09/760,196 | VINDEKILDE, SUSANNE | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Richard C. Weisberger | 3693 | | |

| | Richard C. Weisberger | 3693 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a finial rejection, to | | | cause | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | • | | | | | | |
| (c) \square They are not deemed to place the application in bett | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>order entry limitation</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 a | | | OTOL 204) | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | npliant Amendment (I | 310L-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | : | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | it canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14,16-20 and 27</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The official control buildings filed offer a final action, but | hofore or on the date of filing a Na | tion of Annual will not | ha antarad | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). | | | | | |
| 10. | Tor the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | eu. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| | /Richard C Weisbergei | -/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | | | | | | | |